

OFFICIAL FEEDBACK FORM



DIALOGUE DATE	Monday, 26 September 2022 13:00 GMT -05:00
DIALOGUE TITLE	Good Food Dialogues: Listening Session 7
CONVENED BY	Kennedy Johnson and TJ Harper
GEOGRAPHICAL FOCUS	National
DIALOGUE EVENT PAGE	https://goodfooddialogues.com/dialogue/51861/

The outcomes from the Dialogues will be of use in developing the pathway to a healthier and hunger-free America. Each Dialogue will contribute in three distinct ways:

- Direct submission to the White House in advance of the Conference on Hunger, Nutrition, and Health (for events and feedback received prior to the July 15th deadline)
- Published as public facing and searchable documents on the Good Food Dialogues website
- Rolled into a final report that synthesizes the feedback, ideas, and challenges shared throughout all the Good Food Dialogues. This report will not only be submitted to the White House but will also be used to inform a movement for change well beyond the White House Conference.

1. PARTICIPATION

TOTAL NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS

8

PARTICIPATION BY AGE RANGE

0 0-18 1 19-30 0 31-50 0 51-65 0 66-80 0 80+

PARTICIPATION BY GENDER

0 Male 1 Female 0 Non-binary 0 Prefer not to say or Other

PARTICIPATION BY RACE

0 American Indian or Alaska Native 0 Asian
0 Black or African American 0 Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
0 White

PARTICIPATION BY ETHNICITY

0 Hispanic or Latino 0 Not Hispanic or Latino

NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS IN EACH SECTOR

0 Academia/education 0 Agriculture 0 Economic empowerment
0 Environment and ecology 0 Finance 0 Food consumer
0 Food distributor 0 Food production 0 Food processing
0 Food retail 0 Government 0 Healthcare
0 Health insurance 0 Hunger 0 Livestock
0 Nutrition 0 Public Policy 1 Other

NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS FROM EACH STAKEHOLDER GROUP

0 Academic/Expert 0 Advocate
0 Corporation 0 Community Organization
0 Direct Service Provider 0 Farmer/Food Producer
0 Financial Institution 0 Impacted Individual
0 Logistics provider 1 Non-Profit
0 Policy Maker (current/former) 0 Small business
0 Student 0 Supplier
0 Other

2. PRINCIPLES OF ENGAGEMENT

HOW DID YOU ORGANIZE THE DIALOGUE SO THAT THE PRINCIPLES WERE INCORPORATED, REINFORCED AND ENHANCED?

The Dialogue incorporated the Principles of Engagement beginning with those who were invited to be participants, it was important that we emphasized inclusivity and diversity to ensure that this was a full, honest, unbiased conversation. We also used the Principles of Engagement to organize and curate our Discussion Topics and Questions to guide the Dialogue. The Principles of Engagement were also reinforced throughout the entirety of the Dialogue with the assistance of our Host who facilitated the Dialogue, curated the questions, and followed up with the participants, post-Dialogue, with the DoorDash Thank You Gift Cards.

HOW DID YOUR DIALOGUE REFLECT SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF THE PRINCIPLES?

This Dialogue centered the Principles of Engagement by focusing on complimenting the work of others, embracing multi-stakeholder inclusivity, and being respectful. Throughout the session, the participants were able to highlight the work being done in their communities and discuss how different organizations are working to fill in gaps on a local, state, and federal level. Having participants with different backgrounds, perspectives, and frameworks created a Dialogue that indubitably compliments the work of others, embraces diversity and inclusivity, and respect in order to host an effective conversation.

DO YOU HAVE ADVICE FOR OTHER DIALOGUE CONVENORS ABOUT APPRECIATING THE PRINCIPLES OF ENGAGEMENT?

We would advise other Dialogue Convenors to prioritize the Principles of Engagement as they set the tone for the entire Dialogue. Precursing the Dialogue with Respect, Urgency, Trust, Complimenting the work of others, and Inclusivity allows for honest, concise, and robust feedback from all participants.

3. METHOD

The outcomes of a Dialogue are influenced by the method that is used.

DID YOU USE THE SAME METHOD AS RECOMMENDED BY THE CONVENORS REFERENCE MANUAL?

Yes

No

4. DIALOGUE FOCUS & OUTCOMES

MAJOR FOCUS

Our major focus was to highlight local lived experiences from community members and connect those experiences with strategies and ideas for the public and private sectors to use to respond to these issues. We asked several questions and posed several topics to better engage those participating in the Dialogue. By the time we held this Dialogue, we learned that we needed to focus less on policy recommendations and more on the experiences of our participants. Considering the nutrition component of the Conference, it was important for us to speak with participants about their local shopping experience since local foods contain a higher nutritional value than foods in big-box stores, so we used the following questions to guide our conversation:

In what ways do you think living in a food desert shapes a person's daily life and their relationship with their community?

How do we integrate nutrition and education? And when do we do this?

For those who shop locally, what encourages you to do so? For those who don't what stands in your way?

Are you aware of the organizations in your area that are serving the community?

Discuss local organizations that are doing the work to help those experiencing food insecurity?

Discuss/analyze the White House pillars. Do you think they address the real issues based on the experiences that you just shared?

What specific actions should the U.S. Government be taking to address issues of food insecurity/poor nutrition in our communities?

How can local, state, and federal governments improve financial and food assistance programs?

How can grocery stores, restaurants, fast food restaurants, etc. incentivize healthy and affordable eating?

WHITE HOUSE CONFERENCE PILLARS

- 1. Improve food access and affordability
- 2. Integrate nutrition and health
- 3. Empower all consumers to make and have access to healthy choices
- 4. Support physical activity for all
- 5. Enhance nutrition and food security research

KEYWORDS

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Nutrition | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Food Security |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Healthcare | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Economic Empowerment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Technology | <input type="checkbox"/> | Data & Evidence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Environment and Climate | <input type="checkbox"/> | Finance |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Human rights | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Innovation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> | Policy |

MAIN FINDINGS

Participants used the time from this Dialogue to focus on the importance of economic empowerment. Participants found that economic mobility is one of the first steps to ending the system of hunger, nutrition, and health disparities. Ultimately participants agreed that income is the essential component for people to access food conveniently and affordably.

WHITE HOUSE CONFERENCE PILLARS

- 1. Improve food access and affordability
- 2. Integrate nutrition and health
- 3. Empower all consumers to make and have access to healthy choices
- 4. Support physical activity for all
- 5. Enhance nutrition and food security research

KEYWORDS

<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrition	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Food Security
<input type="checkbox"/>	Healthcare	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Economic Empowerment
<input type="checkbox"/>	Technology	<input type="checkbox"/>	Data & Evidence
<input type="checkbox"/>	Environment and Climate	<input type="checkbox"/>	Finance
<input type="checkbox"/>	Human rights	<input type="checkbox"/>	Innovation
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Policy

OUTCOMES FOR EACH DISCUSSION TOPIC

Financial/Economic Empowerment is the first step to food accessibility and affordability. Economic assistance can put a ceiling on consumers and recipients, but economic mobility can create more opportunities than solely offering economic assistance. Participants suggested that economic assistance/financial assistance should be coupled with other services and resources.

WHITE HOUSE CONFERENCE PILLARS

- 1. Improve food access and affordability
- 2. Integrate nutrition and health
- 3. Empower all consumers to make and have access to healthy choices
- 4. Support physical activity for all
- 5. Enhance nutrition and food security research

KEYWORDS

<input type="checkbox"/>	Nutrition	<input type="checkbox"/>	Food Security
<input type="checkbox"/>	Healthcare	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Economic Empowerment
<input type="checkbox"/>	Technology	<input type="checkbox"/>	Data & Evidence
<input type="checkbox"/>	Environment and Climate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Finance
<input type="checkbox"/>	Human rights	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Innovation
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Policy

AREAS OF DIVERGENCE

This area was intentionally left blank as there were no areas of divergence to emerge from this Dialogue.

WHITE HOUSE CONFERENCE PILLARS

- 1. Improve food access and affordability
- 2. Integrate nutrition and health
- 3. Empower all consumers to make and have access to healthy choices
- 4. Support physical activity for all
- 5. Enhance nutrition and food security research

KEYWORDS

- Nutrition
- Healthcare
- Technology
- Environment and Climate
- Human rights
- Food Security
- Economic Empowerment
- Data & Evidence
- Finance
- Innovation
- Policy