OFFICIAL FEEDBACK FORM



DIALOGUE DATE	Thursday, 8 September 2022 18:30 GMT -05:00	
DIALOGUE TITLE	Good Food Dialogues: Listening Session 4	
CONVENED BY	Kennedy Johnson and TJ Harper	
GEOGRAPHICAL FOCUS	National	
DIALOGUE EVENT PAGE https://goodfooddialogues.com/dialogue/51846/		

The outcomes from the Dialogues will be of use in developing the pathway to a healthier and hunger-free America. Each Dialogue will contribute in three distinct ways:

- Direct submission to the White House in advance of the Conference on Hunger, Nutrition, and Health (for events and feedback received prior to the July 15th deadline)
 Published as public facing and searchable documents on the Good Food Dialogues website
- Rolled into a final report that synthesizes the feedback, ideas, and challenges shared throughout all the Good Food Dialogues. This report will not only be submitted to the White House but will also be used to inform a movement for change well beyond the White House Conference.

1. PARTICIPATION

TOTAL NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS

19

PARTICIPATION BY AGE RANGE

0-18 19-30 31-50 51-65 66-80 80+

PARTICIPATION BY GENDER

11 Male 8 Female Non-binary Prefer not to say or Other

PARTICIPATION BY RACE

0 American Indian or Alaska Native 0 Asian

Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander 11

7 White

0

0

PARTICIPATION BY ETHNICITY

Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino

NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS IN EACH SECTOR

0 Academia/education Agriculture 0 Economic empowerment

0 **Environment and ecology** 0 Finance 0 Food consumer

0 Food distributor 0 Food production 0 Food processing

0 Food retail 0 0 Healthcare Government

0 Health insurance 0 Hunger 0 Livestock 0 Nutrition 0 **Public Policy** Other

NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS FROM EACH STAKEHOLDER GROUP

Advocate 0 Academic/Expert 0

0 Corporation 0 Community Organization

0 **Direct Service Provider** 0 Farmer/Food Producer

Financial Institution 0 Impacted Individual

0 1 Non-Profit 0 Logistics provider

0 Small business

0 Policy Maker (current/former)

0 Student Supplier

Other

2. PRINCIPLES OF ENGAGEMENT

HOW DID YOU ORGANIZE THE DIALOGUE SO THAT THE PRINCIPLES WERE INCORPORATED, REINFORCED AND ENHANCED?

The Principles were announced in the introduction of the organization and the Good Food Dialogues to reinforce the principles of the organization as well as the Dialogue. The Dialogue incorporated the Principles of Engagement beginning with those who were invited to be participants, it was important that we emphasized inclusivity and diversity to ensure that this was a full, honest, unbiased conversation. We also used the Principles of Engagement to organize and curate our Discussion Topics and Questions to guide the Dialogue. The Principles of Engagement were also reinforced throughout the entirety of the Dialogue with the assistance of our Host who facilitated the Dialogue, curated the questions, and followed up with the participants, post-Dialogue, with the DoorDash, Thank You Gift Cards.

HOW DID YOUR DIALOGUE REFLECT SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF THE PRINCIPLES?

We were able to complement the work of others in the Dialogue by allowing space for participants to share anecdotes about existing community efforts and initiatives and how they can be recreated nationally. This Dialogue centered the Principles of Engagement by focusing on complimenting the work of others, embracing multi-stakeholder inclusivity, and being respectful. Throughout the session, the participants were able to highlight the work being done in their communities and discuss how different organizations are working to fill in gaps on a local, state, and federal level. Having participants with different backgrounds, perspectives, and frameworks created a Dialogue that indubitably compliments the work of others, embraces diversity and inclusivity, and respect in order to host an effective conversation.

DO YOU HAVE ADVICE FOR OTHER DIALOGUE CONVENORS ABOUT APPRECIATING THE PRINCIPLES OF ENGAGEMENT?

The Principles of Engagement are helpful for setting the tone for an effective and robust Dialogue. The Principles are also key factors in ensuring that all participants and conveners are on one accord for the purpose and overall engagement of the Dialogue. We would advise other Dialogue Convenors to prioritize the Principles of Engagement as they set the tone for the entire Dialogue. Precursing the Dialogue with Respect, Urgency, Trust, Complimenting the work of others, and Inclusivity allows for honest, concise, and robust feedback from all participants.

Good Food Dialogues Official Feedback Form

3. METHOD

The outcomes of a Dialogue are influenced by the method that is used.

DID YOU USE THE SAME METHOD AS RECOMMENDED BY THE CONVENORS REFERENCE MANUAL?

Yes

No

4. DIALOGUE FOCUS & OUTCOMES

MAJOR FOCUS

The focus of this Dialogue was to get participants talking about their local experiences with food access and food affordability since COVID and with the recent inflation in prices for all goods and services. Participants were encouraged to talk about the distance from their home to the nearest grocery store, the mode of transportation to get to the grocery store, if the participants had ever been to their local farmer's market, if their day-to-day routine allows them the time to cook, and if they find it more affordable to eat out or cook at home.

WHITE HOUSE CONFERENCE PILLARS

1. Improve food access and affordability
 2. Integrate nutrition and health
 3. Empower all consumers to make and have access to healthy choices
 4. Support physical activity for all
 5. Enhance nutrition and food security research

Nutrition	Food Security
Healthcare	Economic Empowerment
Technology	Data & Evidence
Environment and Climate	Finance
Human rights	Innovation
	Policy

MAIN FINDINGS

We completed a survey during this Dialogue to further examine some of the experiences of our participants, below are the results from the survey.

65% of participants from this Dialogue live less than 5 miles from the nearest grocery store.

31% of participants from this Dialogue live approximately 5 miles from the nearest grocery store.

4% of participants from this Dialogue live more than 5 miles from the nearest grocery store.

58% of participants from this Dialogue drive their own car to their nearest grocery store.

23% of participants from this Dialogue walk to their nearest grocery store.

12% of participants from this Dialogue use Uber/Lyft/Taxi to get to their nearest grocery store.

8% of participants from this Dialogue ride the public bus to get to their nearest grocery store.

90% of participants from this Dialogue have shopped at their local farmer's market.

73% of participants from this Dialogue find it more affordable to cook at home versus eating out.

58% of participants from this Dialogue "Occasionally" have the time in their day to cook at home.

WHITE HOUSE CONFERENCE PILLARS

- 1. Improve food access and affordability 2. Integrate nutrition and health 3. Empower all consumers to make and have
 - access to healthy choices
 - 5. Enhance nutrition and food security research

4. Support physical activity for all

1	Nutrition	1	Food Security
	Healthcare	1	Economic Empowerment
	Technology		Data & Evidence
	Environment and Climate		Finance
	Human rights	1	Innovation
			Policy

OUTCOMES FOR EACH DISCUSSION TOPIC

This Dialogue featured many participants who are privileged enough to live within 5 miles of their local grocery store, have their own transportation to their local grocery store, find it more affordable to cook at home than to eat out, and who frequent their local farmer's market. This was a unique cohort of participants considering that they experienced few barriers to access food; however, these participants did recognize that this is not the reality for all people. This Dialogue was more of a teaching moment for the participants, as they're lived experience was that of easy food access, affordability, and rarely, if ever, feeling disempowered to make and access healthy choices.

WHITE HOUSE CONFERENCE PILLARS

1	1. Improve food access and affordability
	2. Integrate nutrition and health
1	3. Empower all consumers to make and have access to healthy choices
	4. Support physical activity for all
	5. Enhance nutrition and food security research

	Nutrition	1	Food Security
	Healthcare	/	Economic Empowerment
	Technology		Data & Evidence
	Environment and Climate		Finance
1	Human rights	1	Innovation
			Policy

AREAS OF DIVERGENCE

There were some differences in opinion as to what food accessibility actually is. For some, they had not ever experienced a lack of access to food so they were enlightened through the open conversation about the struggles and inconveniences of having a lack of access to fresh, healthy food.

WHITE HOUSE CONFERENCE PILLARS

1	1. Improve food access and affordability
	2. Integrate nutrition and health
	3. Empower all consumers to make and have access to healthy choices
	4. Support physical activity for all
	5. Enhance nutrition and food security research

Nutrition	Food Security
Healthcare	Economic Empowerment
Technology	Data & Evidence
Environment and Climate	Finance
Human rights	Innovation
	Policy